

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 WWW.BSpfo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/034,593	12/28/2001		Timothy J. Boyd	8330-202DVB	2488		
27572	7590	10/09/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		& PIERCE, P.L.O	NGUYEN, THUKHANH T				
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1722			

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/034,593	BOYD ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thu Khanh T. Nguyen	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 E	December 2001					
2a)[		is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
·	Claim(s) <u>17-24</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.							
·	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-21 and 24</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) ⚠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/034,593

Art Unit: 1722

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the status of the application 09/436,864 should be updated.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 17 and 22-23 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 17, 22-23 of copending Application No. 10/006,859 in view of Lee (5,229,043).

The Application '859 discloses a blow-molding machine, comprising a blow mold, a high-pressure fluid source, a high-temperature fluid source, a blow core with an exhaust connecting to the fluid sources, and a controller couple to the fluids sources to control the flow of

Application/Control Number: 10/034,593

Art Unit: 1722

the fluid. The application '859 fails to disclose a mixer for mixing a pressurized gas with a fluid to form a high-pressure fluid source.

Lee discloses a blow molding apparatus, comprising a pressurized fluid source (18) a gaseous source (24) are mixed in a mixer, or a jet pump (22) to form a high pressure fluid source.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the Application '859 by providing a mixer to mix a pressurized fluid with a gas source as taught by Lee, because by doing so the high pressure fluid could be mixed at the molding site and less fluid would be stored at high pressure.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 18-21 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Takakusaki et al (4,850,850) discloses an apparatus for preparing heat-set plastic hollow vessel, comprising a blow mold having a mold cavity (1), a high pressure fluid source (80, 81), a heater to heat some of the high pressure fluid (85, 86), a blow core (69) engageable with the preform and coupled to the high pressure source and having an exhaust (71) to exhaust fluid from the interior of the preform, a controlling valves (81, 83, 90) for controlling the flow rate of the fluid.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,593

Art Unit: 1722

Page 4

Takakusaki et al, however, fails to disclose a separate high-pressure fluid source from the high temperature fluid source. When the fluid sources are provided separately as disclosed by the current claims, the pressure and the temperature of the preform could be more controllable because the pressure and the temperature could be controlled independently from each other.

- 6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-7167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

TN

ROBERT DAVIS
RIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300-170-

10/1/03